

Organization	Commenter	Section	Comment/s	Resolution
Placer Land Trust	Jeff Darlington	Page 4, Section II.A.	Consider revising first bullet to read: "Be located within the Sierra Nevada Region or have a direct impact on lands or waters within the Region."	This recommended change was a useful clarification and was included in the Grant Guidelines.
Placer Land Trust	Jeff Darlington	Page 4, Section II.A.	Consider revising sentence to read: "Projects that contribute to improved water quality and reliability by removing toxins associated with historic mine lands and hazardous materials from waters and waterways and/or preventing them from entering waters and waterways."	The original language reflects the Board's focus on Healthy Forests and Abandoned Mine Lands for this grant round. The recommended changes sought to broaden this focus and were therefore declined.
N/A	David Burns	Page 4, Section II.A.	I recommend the grant guideline wording be changed to " including, but not limited to " or just drop the "either/or" conditions to allow for more open participation of equally worthy project ideas for restoring ecosystem health and vitality to the Sierra Nevada.	The original language reflects the Board's focus on Healthy Forests and Abandoned Mine Lands for this grant round. The recommended changes sought to broaden this focus and were therefore declined.
Placer Land Trust	Jeff Darlington	Page 6, Section II.C.	Consider revising second bullet on this page (or separate into two bullets) to read: "The degree to which a forest health project builds on existing partnerships where SNC has a history of involvement including grant awards and other activities, and, in the case of an abandoned mine land project only , or the degree to which an abandoned mine land the project aligns with the purposes of the Abandoned Mine Initiative included in the SNC's 2013-14 Action Plan."	These recommended changes were not considered necessary and were therefore declined.
Placer Land Trust	Jeff Darlington	Page 6, Section II.D.	Consider revising bullet #6 to read: Toxic Remediation projects that mitigate legacy mining impacts from mining and other environmentally harmful activities .	The original language reflects the Board's focus on Healthy Forests and Abandoned Mine Lands for this grant round. The recommended changes sought to broaden this focus and were therefore declined.

Organization	Commenter	Section	Comment/s	Resolution
El Dorado County Water Agency	Dave Eggerton	Page 6, Section II.D.	Revise item #2 to read: Forest management to increase forest resilience, <u>enhance water supply and quality, enhance the long-term sequestration of carbon</u> , and/or improve habitat conditions and biodiversity.	The Grant Guidelines were modified to include the first part, "enhance water supply and quality...". Additional changes were declined as unnecessary.
El Dorado County Water Agency	Dave Eggerton	Page 6, Section II.D.	Add New item: <u>Forest management activities resulting in ecologically healthy forests and watersheds, which reduce the potential for catastrophic wildfires while protecting and enhancing water supply, water quality, and air quality.</u>	These changes appeared to be repetitive of information included earlier in this list and were therefore declined.
Mariposa County Planning Department	Damon Golubics	Page 6, Section II.D.	Add additional language to Number 7 of Section D: "Treatment measures used to prevent sediment or runoff from Abandoned Mine Lands from entering a watercourse <u>and subsurface water sources.</u> "	This recommended change was a useful clarification and was included in the Grant Guidelines.
El Dorado County Water Agency	Dave Eggerton	Page 6, Section II.D. Category Two Projects	Clarify Item #3: Performing necessary studies and assessments, and developing necessary project designs related to a specific site or physical project, <u>located on publicly and/or privately owned or managed lands.</u>	This recommended change was a useful clarification and was included in the Grant Guidelines.
Mariposa County Planning Department	Damon Golubics	Page 7, Section E	Delete Number 8 under Ineligible Projects: "Abandoned Mine Land project where a viable responsible party has been identified for the historic mining activities"	This item raises a variety of policy and legal questions, and therefore the request to remove it was declined.
Placer Land Trust	Jeff Darlington	Page 13, Appendix A	Consider adding a definition of Forest that included foothill woodlands. Such as: <u>Forest – Areas of the Sierra Nevada Region that are characterized by tree cover - as opposed to grasslands, meadows, urban areas, etc. - ranging from oak woodlands in the foothills up through conifer forests at the crest of the Sierra Nevada range.</u>	A similar definition of Forest has been added to the final Draft Grant Guidelines.

Organization	Commenter	Section	Comment/s	Resolution
Mono Lake Committee	Lisa Cutting		"Mono Lake Committee supports the two program areas proposed for the grant funding cycle" ... they are "Two high profile areas in need of improvement in the Sierra Nevada Region".	No changes recommended.